# Promoted Sites Consultation: Response

Email copy of this form to [Local.plan@basingstoke.gov.uk](mailto:Local.plan@basingstoke.gov.uk?subject=Site%20Ref:%20CLID011%20Upper%20Swallick)

Or Post to: Planning Policy Team, Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council Civic Offices, London Road, Basingstoke, RG21 4AH

\*\*To be Lodged with the Council by 4pm Monday 9 November 2020\*\*

# Site Ref: CLID011 Upper Swallick

**FULL NAME:………………………………………………………………**

**ADDRESS: ………………………………………………………………**

**…………………………………………………………………………………. POST CODE: …………………………………………………………….. EMAIL:………………………………………………………………………**

**My Objections are as follows:**

* It is in the countryside and does not meet the requirements of SS6.
* It would appear from the concept document that all the houses cannot be delivered within the period of the Local Plan.
* It is doubtful whether these houses are needed to meet the housing requirements of the Local Plan.
* It would mean the loss of over 750 acres of valuable farmland.
* Huge loss of biodiversity and wildlife.
* It would remove access to the countryside from the South of Basingstoke.
* It would produce 16,000 extra car journeys per day going through Brighton Hill, Hatch Warren, Cliddesden, along B3046 down through the Candovers & Dummer to M3 J7, along A339 through Herriard, Upton Grey to North Warnborough through to M3 J5 and all the surrounding villages.
* It covers areas of ancient woodland.
* There are ancient burial sites on the land.
* It is adjacent to the Conservation Area of Cliddesden and numerous listed buildings.
* It covers areas of Ground Water Protection Zones.
* It would increase the surface water flooding problems in Cliddesden, Winslade and along the A339.
* It would swamp the Conservation Area, the village of Cliddesden, Ellisfield, Winslade and the surrounding villages.